

Fully Fund the Global Fund: Get Back on Track to End HIV, TB and malaria in a COVID World

Key Messages for the 7th Replenishment

GFAN Asia Pacific: In Extraordinary Times...The Power of More
December 9th 2021





Fully Fund the Global Fund: Get Back on Track to End AIDS, TB and Malaria in a COVID World

It is time for bold and ambitious action: anything less will mean we cannot meet the challenges of the coming years or meet the 2030 SDG targets

We have to get back on track: the results report showed that in just one year of COVID, we have slipped, significantly on key markers that since the creation of the Global Fund have only ever shown growth

We have to be clear that money matters: there is no clear pathway to 28.5 billion dollars for the Global Fund, but that does not mean it is not the right ask as an expression of need

We are living in a COVID world: the framing of global health has shifted, significantly and we have to prioritize the Global Fund and the end of HIV, TB and malaria within a system and a global psyche that is COVID driven



The ask: 28.5 billion for the 7th Replenishment

The methodology for disease-specific asks:

Global Resource Estimate for GF eligible countries published by Technical Partners for HIV/AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria

÷

Historical proportion of funding from the Global Fund

=

“Fair share” ask for the Global Fund for each disease



AMOUNT NEEDED OVER THREE YEARS: 2023-2025

ALL FUNDS ARE IN USD

	ANNUAL TOTAL RESOURCE NEED IN LOW- & MIDDLE-INCOME COUNTRIES	ANNUAL TOTAL RESOURCE NEED IN GLOBAL FUND ELIGIBLE COUNTRIES	THE GLOBAL FUND'S ANNUAL SHARE
HIV	\$29 BILLION/ YEAR BY 2025 ⁴	\$21 BILLION/ YEAR ⁵	\$3 BILLION ⁶
TB	\$22 BILLION/ YEAR IN 2022 ⁷	\$16.5 BILLION/ YEAR IN 2022 ⁸	\$2.4 BILLION ⁹
MALARIA	\$9.3 BILLION/ YEAR BY 2025 ¹⁰	\$8.4 BILLION/ YEAR ¹¹	\$2.6 BILLION ¹²
STRENGTHENING COMMUNITY-LED RESPONSES			\$1.5 BILLION

\$9.5 BILLION / YEAR

\$28.5 BILLION TOTAL
(RANGE 26.85-\$30 BILLION)

The ask: Strengthening Community-Led Responses

During the recent Strategy conversations, many community and civil society representatives urged the Global Fund to specifically dedicate more resources to community-led and based initiatives.

Within the Global Funds RSSH portfolio, community-led/based initiatives receive far less funding than health systems

This is not a request or position for a separate modality within the Global Fund: it is a statement that investing in community-led and community-based initiatives is critical and requires new and significant funding

Positioning the GFAN ask among uncertainty and unknown factors: a statement on the value and need to invest significant new funds in strengthening community-led and community-based initiatives

